**Sexual Assault Kit Initiative (SAKI) Grant Law Enforcement Performance Measures**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Agency** |  |
| **County/City** |  |
| **Contact Name** |  |
| **Report Time Period** |  |

1. **During the reporting period, what factors contributed to successfully submitting SAKs or improving your submission of SAKs? *Select all that apply.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **N/A Have not completed inventory**  |
|  | **Effective in-house records management system** |
|  | **Proper protocols in place** |
|  | **Coordination with departmental leadership**  |
|  | **Sufficient amount of staff available**  |
|  | **Effective in-house training** |
|  | **Access to money and/or other resources** |
|  | **Diligent community-based victim services** |
|  | **Understanding and responding to victim trauma**  |
|  | **Understanding of basic forensic investigative techniques**  |
|  | **Understanding the importance of properly handling and testing SAKs** |
|  | **Other** |
|  | **If other, please explain** |

1. **During the reporting period, what factors does your jurisdiction see as contributing to unsubmitted SAKs? *Select all that apply.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Employees questioning the validity of victims’ reports/** |
|  | **Employees would benefit from more** |
|  | **New and improved protocols needed** |
|  | **Employees would benefit from a better understanding of appropriate victim trauma response** |
|  | **Need for additional/increased ties with community-based victim services** |
|  | **Need for a better evidence-tracking system** |
|  | **Chronic instability in departmental leadership** |
|  | **Need for greater understanding of the value of testing kits** |
|  | **Other** |
|  | **If other, please explain:** |

1. **Were any cases forwarded for investigation related to the SAKs tested during the reporting period? Include any cases opened/reopened because of the results of testing SAKs.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **No (If No, skip next question)** |
|  | **Yes** |
|  | **If Yes, how many cases were forwarded for investigation?** |

1. **During the reporting period, enter the number of victims located, contacted, or agreeing to participate (associated with previously unsubmitted SAKs) as a result of the SAKI.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Number of victims located (have found where victim resides)** |
|  | **Of those located, how many victims were contacted** |
|  | **Number of victims determined to be deceased** |
|  | **Of those contacted, how many agreed to actively participate in a new investigation resulting from the SAKI** |

1. **During the reporting period, how many cases were not forwarded from investigation for prosecution?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | ***Enter “0” if all cases were forwarded*** |
|  | **Please explain: (*enter N/A if all cases were forwarded)*** |

1. **What case elements did the working group consider when prioritizing cases during the reporting period? *Select all that apply****.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **N/A have not broached** |
|  | **N/A not prioritizing (e.g., forklift approach** |
|  | **Age of victim**  |
|  | **Victim/victim’s family cooperation** |
|  | **Public safety concerns** |
|  | **Statute of limitations**  |
|  | **DNA of known offender** |
|  | **Other/new evidence and/or witnesses have come to light (not SAK related** |
|  | **Other** |
|  | **If other, please explain** |